

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

Criminal Action No. 5:18CR27-02
(STAMP)

RACHEL A. COOK,

Defendant.

**ORDER ACCEPTING AND ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S
RECOMMENDATION THAT DEFENDANT'S GUILTY PLEA BE ACCEPTED**

On July 30, 2018, defendant, Rachel A. Cook, appeared before United States Magistrate Judge James E. Seibert and moved this Court for permission to withdraw her plea of NOT GUILTY to Count Two and enter a plea of GUILTY. The defendant stated that she understood that the magistrate judge is not a United States District Judge, and consented to pleading before the magistrate judge. This Court had referred the guilty plea to the magistrate judge for the purposes of administering the allocution pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11, making a finding as to whether the plea was knowingly and voluntarily entered, and recommending to this Court whether the plea should be accepted.

Based upon the defendant's statements during the plea hearing and the testimony of West Virginia State Trooper Jason Kocher, the magistrate judge found that the defendant was competent to enter a plea, that the plea was freely and voluntarily given, that the defendant was aware of the nature of the charges against her and the consequences of the charges against her and the consequences of

her plea, and that a factual basis existed for the tendered plea. On July 30, 2018, the magistrate judge entered an order finding a factual basis for the plea and recommended that this Court accept the plea of guilty to Count Two of the indictment. No objection to the magistrate judge's report and recommendation has been filed.

Absent objections, a de novo review of the plea proceedings conducted by the magistrate judge is not required. United States v. Osborne, 345 F.3d 281, 290 (4th Cir. 2003). Accordingly, this Court finds that the magistrate judge's recommendation should be AFFIRMED and hereby ACCEPTS the plea of GUILTY to Count Two of the indictment.

The Court will DEFER adjudging the defendant GUILTY of the crime charged in Count Two of the indictment until the time of sentencing. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(c) and United States Sentencing Guideline § 6B1.1(c), acceptance of the proposed plea agreement is DEFERRED until the Court has received and reviewed the presentence report prepared in this matter.

Pursuant to United States Sentencing Guideline § 6A1 et seq., it is hereby ORDERED that:

1. The Probation Office shall undertake a presentence investigation of Rachel A. Cook and prepare a presentence report for the Court;

2. Pursuant to the amended version of Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32(e)(3), effective December 1, 1994, the United

States Probation officer is directed not to disclose to the defendant, the defendant's counsel, or the attorney for the Government the Probation Officer's recommendation, if any, on the sentence;

3. The presentence report shall be disclosed to the defendant, defense counsel, and the Government at least thirty-five (35) days before sentencing;

4. Within fourteen (14) days after receiving the presentence report, counsel shall file and serve written sentencing statements and objections to the presentence report, if any;

5. The defendant, Rachel A. Cook, shall appear before the Court as later directed by the Court for sentencing or such other disposition as may be pronounced against him;

6. The defendant shall remain in the custody of the United States Marshals Service.

Further, the trial of this criminal action as to defendant Rachel A. Cook is hereby VACATED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this order to the defendant, to counsel of record herein, to the United States Probation Office and to the United States Marshals Service.

DATED: August 9, 2018

/s/ Frederick P. Stamp, Jr.
FREDERICK P. STAMP, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE